Independent Review Team – UEA/CRU

Final

Notes of Interviews with Michael McGarvie – Science Faculty FoIA contact Interviewers Sir Muir Russell & Prof. Jim Norton Interview carried out at UEA on 30th March 2010

Introduction

1. Michael McGarvie explained that he was Director of Faculty Administration, working with the Dean of the Science Faculty and reporting to the Registrar. He was the formal FoIA contact for the Science Faculty.

Background

2. Sir Muir Russell and Jim Norton briefly summarised the purpose of the Review. It was noted that we were particularly interested to make forward looking recommendations to improve the overall effectiveness of processes

FoIA/EIR processes

- 3. Michael McGarvie explained the Freedom of Information Act (FoIA)/
 Environmental Impact Regulations (EIR) processes within UEA. He highlighted
 the key role of Mr. David Palmer (Information Policy and Compliance ManagerIPCM) as the intended first point of contact. The IPCM would create and
 maintain the individual case files. If an individual member of staff were
 approached with a FoI/EIR request they were asked to report this immediately
 to the IPCM such that the case could be tracked formally.
- 4. FoI/EIR training was made available roughly every twelve to eighteen months targeted particularly at new members of staff. Typically this would last three hours and provide a general overview, covering the rationale of FoIA/EIR, the actions required, allowed exclusions and finally good practice demonstrated through case studies. Participation in such training was voluntary.
- 5. Michael McGarvie's key role was to review FoI/EIR case responses related to the Science Faculty in draft before they were sent to the requestor. He would also assist the FoI Policy manger in terms of access to contacts, resources and information within the Faculty.
- 6. The Appeals process was discussed. This was noted to involve normally the Director of Information Services Department -ISD (Jonathan Colam-French) reviewing the case file. However if the case related to ISD itself, then the University Registrar would carry out the review. The Review Team noted that there was no provision for independent working level investigation as part of the Appeal process.
- 7. Michael McGarvie noted that just prior to the unauthorised release of e-mails there had been a real concern within the Science Faculty about the impact on

Independent Review Team – UEA/CRU

Final

Academic Freedom inherent in the FoI requests for access to content from personal e-mails. Internal discussions had been taking place about this issue with a view to submitting a paper to Universities UK, but had not advanced due to the pressures of dealing with increased FOIA requests

Jim Norton

12th June 2010