Hi,

My heart sank when I read your latest news release stating that Sir Muir Russell is confident each member of the Review team has the integrity, the expertise and the experience to complete our work impartially.

Russell is mistaken if he believes that Boulton is impartial.

Boulton was very selective with the facts in his statement of February 11th.

If Russell were to move into the 21st centuary, was to get on the WWW, and read the true facts published about Boulton's complete and utter devotion to the cause of the warmists, Russell could not say Boulton could possibly be impartial.

I would suggest he peruse :- <a href="www.climateaudit.org">www.climateaudit.org</a> <a href="http://bishophill.squarespace.com">http://bishophill.squarespace.com</a>

There are sufficient detailed evidence with references to remove any doubt.

If Russell persists with this enquiry in its present form, it will have NO credibility.

The global warming scam is failing apart daily.

Look at the confession this week from the prime member of the Climategate [redacted] Prof. Jones of the CRU to the BBC's Roger Harrabin, in which Jones admitted much of his work was wrong.

I would advise Sir Muir Russell to think carefully whether he has backed the wrong horse.

regards

Patrick