Publish the cooked data alongside the raw station data so every person can see for themselves, on a station by station basis, how the temperature data has been altered by these [redacted].

Oh, right, the dog ate... I mean they lost the station data. So you cannot check their work or do that raw to cooked data comparison. Funny how they lost the critical data that must be had to check their [redacted].

If you don't check the raw data against the cooked data then what ARE you going to look at? What else is there? You cannot check their results without knowing what station which data came from. So your pretence to 'look over the emails' is so much smoke and mirrors to distract from the real failure of these [redacted].

That means you have throw out their results and start over using real scientists who will operate transparently instead of these who refuse FOI requests by throwing away the critical data and refusing to allow the public to check their work.

As Always,

Bruce Garrick,

"Republics decline into democracies and democracies degenerate into despotisms." ~ *Aristotle* 

"Any intelligent fool can make things bigger, more complex, and more violent. It takes a touch of genius -- and a lot of courage -- to move in the opposite direction." ~ Albert Einstein